Institutional Benchmark Report November 2004

University of Minnesota, Morris



National Survey of Student Engagement



2004 Institutional Benchmark Report University of Minnesota, Morris

Introduction

The National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE) annually assesses the extent to which undergraduate students are involved in educational practices empirically linked to high levels of learning and development. In an effort to make it easier for people on and off campus to talk productively about student engagement and its importance to student learning, collegiate quality, and institutional improvement, NSSE created five clusters or benchmarks of effective educational practice:

- (1) Level of academic challenge
- (2) Active and collaborative learning
- (3) Student-faculty interactions
- (4) Enriching educational experiences
- (5) Supportive campus environment

The benchmarks are made up of groups of items on the survey and are expressed in 100-point scales. Each year, NSSE calculates benchmark scores to monitor performance at the institutional, sector, and national level. This year's analysis is based on approximately 162,000 randomly selected students at 472 four-year colleges and universities that participated in 2004. The students represent a broad cross-section of first-year and senior students from every region of the country. The institutions are similar in most respects to the universe of four-year schools. More detailed information about the benchmarks can be found on the NSSE website at www.iub.edu/~nsse.

Benchmark Report

The Benchmark Report presents UMM's benchmark scores and compares them to schools in our Carnegie Classification, and the NSSE national norms.

NSSE and the benchmarks of effective educational practice provide an instructive way to look at and talk about teaching and learning. Thus, they are intended to help stimulate conversations on campus and help determine whether student behavior and institutional practices are headed in the right direction.



Level of Academic Challenge

Active and Collaborative Learning



Student-Faculty Interaction



Enriching Educational Experiences



Supportive Campus Environment



Benchmark Recalculation Report University of Minnesota, Morris

In 2004, changes were made in the process for calculating NSSE Benchmarks of Effective Educational Practice scores. The changes are a result of continuing efforts to provide institutions with the best information possible. By revising the calculation process, NSSE has enhanced the usability of the information for within institutional comparisons. For example, institutions can calculate benchmark-like scores at the school, college, or department level. This was not previously possible, because the benchmarks were constructed using institution-level data. In addition, using the student-level scale scores, the pre-cursors to the benchmarks, institutions can compare groups of students (e.g., seniors from two different years). For more information about the benchmark construction process, examples of possible analyses, and descriptions of how to calculate student-level scale scores, please see the NSSE annual report website.

While individual institutions now have more options to reconstruct NSSE benchmark scores for their own purposes, the changes in the benchmark calculation procedures require that benchmarks from previous years also be recalculated in order to accurately interpret changes in institutional performance over the years. This report provides NSSE 2004 and prior year benchmark scores based upon the revised process. Thus, the information below allows comparison of benchmark scores from two or more years using the same metric.

Recalculated Benchmarks

The tables below show first-year and senior recalculated benchmarks for the years UMM participated in NSSE since 2001. The benchmarks for previous years are produced using the improved calculation method developed for use in 2004. Note also that the 2004 Student Faculty Interaction benchmark has been computed in a way to make possible accurate year-to-year comparisons. **However, response options for the "enriching" items (question 7 on the survey) were notably revised in 2004. Analysis shows that these items are not comparable with prior years. For most institutions, this change produces a substantially lower score in 2004 compared to prior years, particularly for first-year students.*



Recalculated 2002 & 2004 UMM Benchmarks for First Year Students

Recalculated 2002 & 2004 UMM Benchmarks for Senior Students

